Consideration of reports submitted by States parties under article 9 of the Convention

Concluding observations of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination

Maldives

1. The Committee considered the fifth to twelfth periodic reports of Maldives (CERD/C/MDV/5-12), submitted in one document, at its 2096th and 2097th meetings (CERD/C/SR.2096 and CERD/C/SR.2097), held on 11 and 12 August 2011. At its 2117th meeting (CERD/C/SR.2117), held on 26 August 2011, it adopted the following concluding observations.

A. Introduction

2. The Committee welcomes the submission of the periodic report and the resumption of dialogue with Maldives after a lapse of almost 20 years. It expresses its appreciation for the frank and constructive dialogue held with the high-level delegation of the State party headed by the Attorney General.

3. While the Committee notes with satisfaction that Maldives followed its previous recommendation and received technical assistance from the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights in finalizing its common core document (HRI/CORE/MDV/2010), the Committee regrets that the periodic report was not prepared in conformity with the revised reporting guidelines (CERD/C/2007/1) and contains insufficient information on the implementation of the Convention. The Committee invites the State party to submit on time its next periodic reports in line with the Committee’s revised reporting guidelines and recommendations.

B. Positive aspects

4. The Committee welcomes the positive developments which have taken place in Maldives, including:
(a) The adoption of the 2008 Constitution, which explicitly prohibits racial discrimination in its article 17 (a);

(b) The enactment of the 2008 Employment Act, which prohibits discrimination among persons carrying out equal work;

(c) The entry into force of the 2009 Expatriate Employment Regulation, which protects the rights of migrant workers;

(d) The collaboration with five special procedures mandate holders who visited the country between 2006 and 2011.

5. The Committee also welcomes the ratification of a number of international human rights instruments since 1999, including:

(a) The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, in 2006;

(b) The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, in 2006;

(c) The Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, in 2004;

(d) The Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, in 2010;


6. The Committee notes the intention of the State party to withdraw certain reservations to the international treaties in the field of human rights and encourages it to do so in accordance with the international standards of protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms.

C. Concerns and recommendations

7. The Committee regrets that the State party has not provided disaggregated data on the composition of the population, necessary to assess the progress made in eliminating all forms of racial discrimination.

In the light of its general recommendation No. 4 (1973) on the demographic composition of the population and paragraphs 10 and 12 of its revised reporting guidelines (CERD/C/2007/1), the Committee recommends that the State party include disaggregated demographic data on the ethnic composition of the population in its next periodic report.

8. While welcoming the information provided by the State party that an anti-discrimination act is being prepared for 2012, the Committee is concerned about the absence of comprehensive legislation to prevent and prohibit racial discrimination (arts. 1 and 4).

In general recommendation No. 1 (1972) on States parties’ obligations, the Committee invites States parties to consider, in accordance with their national legislative procedures, the question of supplementing their legislation with provisions conforming to the requirements of article 1 and article 4 (a) and (b) of the Convention. In this regard, the Committee recommends that the State party enact the planned anti-discrimination act as soon as possible in accordance with articles 1 and 4 of the Convention. The Committee also invites the State party to make full use of the Convention and the Committee’s other general recommendations when preparing this act.
9. The Committee notes with concern the provision of the Human Rights Commission Act that only Muslims can be members of the Human Rights Commission of the Maldives (arts. 2, 4 and 5).

The Committee recommends that the State party take steps to ensure that the Human Rights Commission represents all groups in the country and becomes fully compliant with the principles relating to the status of national institutions for the promotion and protection of human rights (Paris Principles). The Committee also encourages the State party to provide the Commission with adequate human and financial resources in order to fully carry out its mandate.

10. The Committee is particularly concerned about discriminatory provisions in the Constitution that all Maldivians should be Muslims, thus excluding non-Muslims from obtaining citizenship or from accessing public positions, and affecting mainly people of a different national or ethnic origin (arts. 2, 4 and 5).

The Committee draws the attention of the State party to general recommendation No. 30 (2004) on discrimination against non-citizens, in which the Committee requests State parties to ensure that particular groups of non-citizens are not discriminated against with regard to access to citizenship or naturalization. Also, in accordance with article 5 (d) (vii) of the Convention, the State party has the obligation to ensure that all persons enjoy their right to freedom of religion without any discrimination on racial or ethnic grounds. The Committee requests the State party to consider the possibility of modifying the discriminatory constitutional provisions in line with the Convention.

11. While welcoming the State party’s membership in the International Labour Organization, which it joined officially in 2009, the Committee expresses concern about reports of hostility against non-citizens and mistreatment of migrant workers by their employers. It also notes with regret the lack of information on refugees and asylum-seekers in the report of the State party (arts. 2, 5 and 6).

The Committee requests the State party to provide in the next periodic report information on measures taken to prevent and redress cases of hostility and mistreatment against migrant workers as well as on the situation of refugees and asylum-seekers. In view of its general recommendation No. 30 (2005) on discrimination against non-citizens, the State party should continue to take measures to eliminate discrimination against non-citizens in relation to working conditions and work requirements, including employment rules and practices with discriminatory effects.


12. The Committee notes with concern that Maldives is a possible destination country for migrant workers trafficked into labour market and for women trafficked for the purpose of commercial sexual exploitation (arts. 2, 5 and 6).

The Committee recommends that the State party strengthen its ongoing efforts to prevent and combat human trafficking, and encourages it to enact as soon as possible the anti-trafficking bill under preparation and include information on any progress made in this area in the next periodic report.

The Committee also recommends that the State party consider ratifying the 2000 Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women

13. The Committee is concerned by the current limitations placed on the right of migrant workers and other foreigners to manifest their religion or belief only in private (arts. 2, 5 and 7).

The Committee recommends that the State party develop means for promoting mutual understanding, tolerance, and inter-religious dialogue in the Maldivian society which will help to confront religious extremism and enhance cultural diversity.

14. Bearing in mind the indivisibility of all human rights, the Committee encourages the State party to consider ratifying those international human rights treaties which it has not yet ratified, in particular treaties the provisions of which have a direct bearing on the subject of racial discrimination.

15. In the light of its general recommendation No. 33 (2009) on follow-up to the Durban Review Conference, the Committee recommends that the State party give effect to the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action, adopted in September 2001 at the World Conference against Racism, Racial Discrimination, Xenophobia and Related Intolerance, taking into account the outcome document of the Durban Review Conference, held in Geneva in April 2009, when implementing the Convention in its domestic legal order. The Committee requests that the State party include in its next periodic report specific information on action plans and other measures taken to implement the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action at the national level.

16. The Committee recommends that the State party undertake and publicize adequately an appropriate programme of activities to commemorate 2011 as the International Year for People of African Descent, as proclaimed by the General Assembly in its resolution 64/169.

17. The Committee recommends that the State party continue its dialogue with the Human Rights Commission of the Maldives and engage with civil society organizations working in the area of human rights protection, in particular in combating racial discrimination, in connection with the preparation of the next periodic report.

18. The Committee encourages the State party to consider making the optional declaration provided for in article 14 of the Convention recognizing the competence of the Committee to receive and consider individual complaints.

19. The Committee recommends that the State party ratify the amendments to article 8, paragraph 6, of the Convention, adopted on 15 January 1992 at the Fourteenth Meeting of States Parties to the Convention and endorsed by the General Assembly in its resolution 47/111. In this connection, the Committee cites General Assembly resolutions 61/148, 63/243 and 65/200, in which the Assembly strongly urged States parties to accelerate their domestic ratification procedures with regard to the amendment to the Convention concerning the financing of the Committee and to notify the Secretary-General expeditiously in writing of their agreement to the amendment.

20. The Committee recommends that the State party’s reports be made readily available and accessible to the public at the time of their submission, and that the observations of the Committee with respect to these reports be similarly publicized in the official and other commonly used languages, as appropriate.

21. In accordance with article 9, paragraph 1, of the Convention and rule 65 of its amended rules of procedure, the Committee requests the State party to provide information, within one year of the adoption of the present concluding observations, on its follow-up to the recommendations contained in paragraphs 8 and 12 above.
22. The Committee also wishes to draw the attention of the State party to the particular importance of recommendations 7, 10, 11 and 13, and requests the State party to provide detailed information in its next periodic report on concrete measures taken to implement these recommendations.

23. The Committee recommends that the State party submit its thirteenth to fifteenth periodic reports in a single document by 24 May 2015, taking into account the specific reporting guidelines adopted by the Committee at its seventy-first session (CERD/C/2007/1) and addressing all the points raised in the present concluding observations. The Committee also urges the State party to observe the page limit of 40 pages for treaty-specific reports and 60-80 pages for the common core document (see the harmonized guidelines for reporting, contained in document HRI/GEN.2/Rev.6, para. 19).