CONSIDERATION OF REPORTS SUBMITTED BY STATES PARTIES 
UNDER ARTICLE 19 OF THE CONVENTION

Conclusions and recommendations of the Committee against Torture

AZERBAIJAN

1. The Committee considered the second periodic report of Azerbaijan (CAT/C/59/Add.1) 
at its 550th, 553rd and … meetings, held on 30 April, 1 May and … 2003 (CAT/C/SR.550, 553 
and …), and adopted the following conclusions and recommendations.

A. Introduction

2. The Committee welcomes the second periodic report of Azerbaijan, as well as the oral 
information provided by the high-level delegation. The Committee particularly welcomes the 
State party’s assurances that the concerns and recommendations adopted by the Committee will 
be pursued seriously.

3. The report, which mainly addresses legal provisions and lacks detailed information on the 
practical implementation of the Convention, does not fully comply with the reporting guidelines 
of the Committee. The Committee emphasizes that the next periodic report should contain more 
specific information on implementation.

B. Positive aspects

4. The Committee notes the following positive developments:

   (a) The efforts by the State party to address the Committee’s previous concluding 
observations, through, in particular, the important Presidential Decree of 10 March 2000;
(b) The declaration under article 22 of the Convention enabling individuals to submit complaints to the Committee;

(c) The ratification of several significant human rights treaties, in particular the European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment;

(d) The extensive legal and legislative reforms by the State party, including the adoption of a new Criminal Code and a new Code of Criminal Procedure;

(e) The introduction of the offence of torture in the new Criminal Code, and the State party’s report of some convictions for this crime;

(f) The transfer of remand centres of the Ministry of Internal Affairs to the authority of the Ministry of Justice;

(g) The creation of the post of Ombudsman;

(h) The assurances by the State party that it is taking action to reduce the occurrence of tuberculosis in places of detention;

(i) The agreement concluded with the International Committee of the Red Cross, enabling ICRC representatives to have unrestricted access to convicted persons in places of detention, as well as the State party’s assurance that access for non-governmental organizations to visit and examine conditions in penitentiary establishments is unlimited.

C. Subjects of concern

5. The Committee is concerned about:

(a) Numerous ongoing allegations of torture and ill-treatment in police facilities and temporary detention facilities, as well as in remand centres and in prisons;

(b) The fact that the definition of torture in the new Criminal Code does not fully comply with article 1 of the Convention, because, inter alia, article 133 omits references to the purposes of torture outlined in the Convention, restricts acts of torture to systematic blows or other violent acts, and does not provide for criminal liability of officials who have given tacit consent to torture;

(c) The lack of information on the implementation of article 3 of the Convention regarding the handover of a person to a country where he/she faces a real risk of torture, and on the rights and guarantees granted to the persons concerned.

6. The Committee is also concerned about the substantial gap between the legislative framework and its practical implementation, and about:

(a) The apparent lack of independence of the judiciary despite the new legislation;
(b) Reports that some persons have been held in police custody much beyond the time-limit of 48 hours established in the Code of Criminal Procedure, and that in exceptional circumstances, persons can be held in temporary detention for up to 10 days in local police facilities;

(c) The lack, in many instances, of prompt and adequate access of persons in police custody or remand centres to independent counsel and a medical doctor, which is an important safeguard against torture; many persons in police custody are reportedly forced to renounce their right to a lawyer, and medical experts are provided only on the order of an official, and not at the request of the detainee;

(d) The fact that, despite the recommendation of the Special Rapporteur on torture, the remand centre of the Ministry of National Security continues to operate, and that it remains under the jurisdiction of the same authorities that conduct the pre-trial investigation;

(e) Reports of harassment and attacks against human rights defenders and organizations;

(f) The particularly strict regime applied to prisoners serving life sentences;

(g) Reports that the ability of detained persons to lodge a complaint is unduly limited by censorship of correspondence and by the failure of the authorities to ensure the protection of the complainants from reprisals;

(h) The reported failure of the State party to provide prompt, impartial and full investigations into the numerous allegations of torture and ill-treatment, as well as insufficient efforts to prosecute alleged offenders;

(i) The fact that no independent body with a mandate to visit and/or supervise places of detention has been established, and that access by non-governmental organizations to penitentiary facilities is impeded;

(j) The fact that very few victims have obtained compensation;

(k) Reports that, in many instances, judges refuse to deal with visible evidence of torture and ill-treatment of detainees and do not order independent medical examinations or return cases for further investigation.

D. Recommendations

7. The Committee recommends that the State party:

(a) Ensure that the offence of torture in national legislation fully complies with the definition provided in article 1 of the Convention;

(b) Guarantee that, in practice, persons cannot be held in initial preventive detention (police custody) longer than 48 hours, and eliminate the possibility of holding persons in temporary detention in local police facilities for a period of up to 10 days;
(c) Clearly instruct police officers, investigative authorities and remand centre personnel that they must respect the right of detained persons to obtain access to a lawyer immediately following detention and a medical doctor on the request of the detainee, and not only after the written consent of detaining authorities has been obtained. The State party should ensure the full independence of medical experts;

(d) Transfer the remand centre of the Ministry of National Security to the authority of the Ministry of Justice, or discontinue its use;

(e) Fully ensure the independence of the judiciary, in accordance with the Basic Principles on the Independence of the Judiciary;

(f) Ensure the prompt creation of the new bar association and take measures to guarantee an adequate number of qualified and independent lawyers able to act in criminal cases;

(g) Ensure the full independence of the Ombudsman;

(h) Ensure the full protection of non-governmental human rights defenders and organizations;

(i) Ensure that all persons have the right to review of any decision about his/her extradition to a country where he/she faces a real risk of torture;

(j) Intensify efforts to educate and train police, prison staff, law enforcement personnel, judges and doctors on their obligations to protect from torture and ill-treatment all individuals who are in State custody. It is particularly important to train medical personnel to detect signs of torture or ill-treatment and to document such acts;

(k) Ensure the right of detainees to lodge a complaint by ensuring their access to an independent lawyer, by reviewing rules on censorship of correspondence and by guaranteeing in practice that complainants will be free from reprisals;

(l) Review the treatment of persons serving life sentences, to ensure that it is in accordance with the Convention;

(m) Institute a system of regular and independent inspections of all places of detention and facilitate in practice, including by issuing instructions to appropriate authorities, access by non-governmental organizations to these places of detention;

(n) Ensure that prompt, impartial and full investigations into all allegations of torture and ill-treatment are carried out and establish an independent body with the authority to receive and investigate all complaints of torture and other ill-treatment by officials. The State party should also ensure the Presidential Decree of 10 March 2000 is implemented in this respect;

(o) Ensure that in practice, redress, compensation and rehabilitation are guaranteed to victims of torture;
(p) Widely disseminate in the country the reports submitted to the Committee, the conclusions and recommendations of the Committee, as well as the summary records of the review, in appropriate languages.

8. The Committee requests the State party to provide in its next periodic report:

   (a) Detailed information, including statistical data, on the practical implementation of its legislation and the recommendations of the Committee, in particular regarding the rights of persons under police custody and pre-trial detention, the implementation of the 1998 Compensation Act or other relevant legislation, the implementation of article 3 of the Convention, and the mandate and activities of the Ombudsman;

   (b) Detailed statistical data, disaggregated by crimes, geographical location, ethnicity and gender, of complaints relating to torture and ill-treatment allegedly committed by law enforcement officials, as well as related investigations, prosecutions, and penal and disciplinary sentences.

9. The Committee welcomes the assurances given by the delegation that complementary written information will be submitted regarding the questions that remained unanswered.

10. The Committee requests that the State party provide, within one year, information on its response to the Committee’s recommendations contained in paragraphs 7 (c), (f), (h), (i) and (n) above.